



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,346	01/02/2002	Michael L. Obradovich	9800.1028	8390

7590

04/10/2002

Alex L. Yip
Kaye Scholer LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER

LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3661

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/038,346

Applicant(s)

OBRADOVICH, MICHAEL L.

Examiner

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques

Art Unit

3661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 129-154 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 129-154 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

2. Claims 129-154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ross et al [5,859,628].

Ross et al '628 discloses an apparatus and method for a personal onboard information system, wherein it is determined whether a vehicle needs a service, e.g., maintenance (column 10), positions or locations of the vehicle and at least one service providers are obtained using GPS (columns 8 and 10), and a service provider closest to the vehicle position is selected (column 10). According to Ross, there is provided a database or memory for storing service provider information, e.g., position (column 8). Furthermore, as explained in column 9, information is communicated via audio media or visual (display). A distance between the vehicle and the selected service provided is determined based on the acquired GPS positions of the vehicle and the service provider, and wherein the service provider is selected based on the determined distance (column 10). More particularly, Ross discloses that the system provides the user with information of the nearest rest area in response to the vehicle's position and direction. Route planning from the vehicle position to the service provider is also provided. As explained in

Art Unit: 3661

column 10, should vehicle maintenance be required, the location and distance to the nearest repair facility is determined. It is inherent in the art that in order to determine the distance between the vehicle and the service provider and to determine the closest or nearest service provider to the vehicle, the position of the vehicle has to be compared to the position of the service provider.

3. Claims 129-132, 134-141, 143-150, and 152-154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blaker et al [5,790,973].

Blaker et al discloses a last exit warning system for a vehicle, wherein the current position of the vehicle and a plurality of service areas locations areas obtained using GPS. According to Blaker et al, the locations of the service areas can be stored in a memory or database. When it is determined that the vehicle needs service, e.g., refueling, a processor compares the position of the vehicle with the service area locations to determine which one is closer to the vehicle position. See columns 3 and 4. Furthermore, a distance from the vehicle to the service area locations is determined. Based on the determined distance, the closest service area is selected. As described in columns 4 and 5, the information is communicated via an audible or visual message and the position of the vehicle is compared to the positions of the service areas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3661

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 133, 142, and 151 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaker et al [5,790,973] in view of DeGraaf [5,819,201].

Blaker et al discloses the limitations as set forth above. However, Blaker et al does not particular teach providing directions (route) to the selected service provider. DeGraaf, on the other, discloses a navigation system with vehicle service information, wherein the system guides a vehicle from a current position to a selected service provider (location). See column 2. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the system of baker et al by incorporating the features from the navigation system of DeGraaf because such modification will ensure that the driver (vehicle) reaches the proper location of the service provider.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,794,174	Janky et al	Aug. 1998
5,561,704	Salimndo	Oct. 1996

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (703) 305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 10/038,346

Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

/jlj
April 2, 2002

Jacques Louis-Jacques
JACQUES H. LOUIS-JACQUES
PRIMARY EXAMINER